Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk Ranking	Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk Ranking
		GOVERNANCE				INVESTMENTS	
1	GOV1	Pension Fund Objectives are not defined and agreed leading to lack of focus of strategy to facilitate the aims of the LGPS.	3	39	INV1	That the assumptions underlying the Investment and Funding Strategies are inconsistent.	10
2	GOV2	Frequent and/or extensive turnover of committee members causing a loss of technical and operational knowledge about the Fund and an inexperienced Committee/Board.	16	40	INV2	That Fund liabilities are not correctly understood and as a consequence assets are not allocated appropriately.	5
3	GOV3	Members have insufficient knowledge of regulations, guidance and best practice to make good decisions.	12	41	INV3	Incorrect understanding of employer characteristics e.g. strength of covenant.	10
4	GOV4	Member non-attendance at training events.	8	42	INV4	The Fund doesn't take expert advice when determining Investment Strategy.	5
5	GOV5	Officers lack the knowledge and skills required to effectively advise elected members and/or carry out administrative duties.	4	43	INV5	Strategic investment advice received from Investment Consultants is either incorrect or inappropriate for Fund.	10
6	GOV6	Committee members have undisclosed conflicts of interest.	3	44	INV6	Investment Manager Risk - this includes both the risk that the wrong manager is appointed and /or that the manager doesn't follow the investment approach set out in the Investment Management agreement.	10
7	GOV7	The Committee's decision making process is too rigid to allow for the making of expedient decisions leading to an inability to respond to problems and/or to exploit opportunities.	4	45	INV7	Relevant information relating to investments is not communicated to the Committee in accordance with the Fund's Governance arrangements.	4
8	GOV8	Known risks not monitored leading to adverse financial, reputational or resource impact.	4	46	INV8	The risks associated with the Fund's assets are not understood resulting in the Fund taking either too much or too little risk to achieve its funding objective.	10
9	GOV9	Failure to recognise new Risks and/or opportunities.	4	47	INV9	Actual asset allocations move away from strategic benchmark.	12
10	GOV10	Weak procurement process leads to legal challenge or failure to secure the best value for the value when procuring new services.	5	48	INV10	No modelling of liabilities and cash flow is undertaken.	5
11	GOV11	Failure to review existing contracts means that opportunities are not exploited.	8		-		

Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk Ranking	Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk Ranking
		GOVERNANCE				COMMUNICATION	1
12	GOV12	Weak process and policies around communicating with a scheme members and employers means that decisions are not available for scrutiny.	3	49	COM1	Members don't make an informed decision when exercising their pension options whilst employers cannot make informed decisions when exercising their discretions leading to possible complaints and appeals against the Fund	8
13	GOV13	Lack of engagement from employers/members means that communicating decisions becomes a "tick box" exercise and accountability is not real.	6	50	COM2	Communication is overcomplicated and technical leading to a lack of engagement and understanding by the user (including members and employers).	6
14	GOV14	Failure to comply with legislation and regulations leads to illegal actions/decisions resulting in financial loss and / or reputational damage	5	51	COM3	Employer doesn't understand or carry out their legal responsibilities under relevant legislation.	8
15	GOV15	Failure to comply with guidance issued by The Pensions Regulator (TPR) and Scheme Advisory Board (SAB) resulting in reputational damage.	10	52	COM4	Apathy from members and employers if communication is irrelevant or lacks impact leading to uninformed users.	9
16	GOV16	Pension fund asset pooling restricts Haringey Pension Fund's ability to fully implement a desired mandate	10	53	COM5	Employers don't meet their statutory requirements leading to possible reporting of breaches to the Pension Regulator.	8
17	GOV17	The Fund adopts and follows ill-suited investment strategy.	15	54	COM6	Lack of information from Employers impacts on the administration of the Fund, places strain on the partnership between Fund and Employer.	4

	LEGISLATION						
18	LEG1	Failure to adhere to LGPS legislation (including regulations, order from the Secretary of State and any updates from The Pension Regulator) leading to financial or reputational damage	10				
19	LEG2	Lack of access to appropriate legislation, best practice or guidance could lead to the Fund acting illegally.	5				
20	LEG3	Lack of skills or resource to understand complex regulatory changes or understand their impact.	8				

Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk Ranking	Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk Ranking
		ACCOUNTING				FUNDING/LIABILITY	
21		The Pension Fund Statement of Accounts does not represent a true and fair view of the Fund's financing and assets.	10	55		Funding Strategy and Investment considered in isolation by Officers, Committee and their separate actuarial and investment advisors	10
22	ACC2	Internal controls are not in place to protect against fruad/ mismanagement.	8	56		Inappropriate Funding Strategy set at Fund and employer level despite being considered in conjunction with Investment Strategy.	10
23	ACC3	The Fund does not have in place a robust internal monitoring and reconciliation process leading to incorrect figures in the accounts.	8	57		Inappropriate Investment and Funding Strategy set that increases risk of future contribution rate increases.	10
24	ACC4	Market value of assets recorded in the Statement of Accounts is incorrect leading to a material misstatement and potentially a qualified audit opinion.	10	58		Processes not in place to capture or failure to correctly understand changes to risk characteristics of employers and adapting investment/funding strategies.	10
25	ACC5	Inadequate monitoring of income (contributions) leading to cash flow problems.	4	59		Processes not in place to capture or review when an employer may be leaving the LGPS.	5
26	ACC6	Rate of contributions from employers' in the Fund is not in line with what is specified in actuarial ratings and adjustment certificate potentially leading to an increased funding deficit or surplus.	5	60		Processes not in place to capture or review funding levels as employer approaches exiting the LGPS.	10
27	ACC7	The fund fails to recover adhoc /miscellaneous income adding to the deficit.	8	61		Investment strategy is static, inflexible and does not meet employers and the Fund's objectives.	5
28	ACC8	Transfers out increase significantly as members transfer to DC funds to access cash through new pension freedoms.	12	62		Process not in place to ensure new employers admitted to the scheme have appropriate guarantor or bond in place.	4
	•			63	FLI9	Level of bond not reviewed in light of change in employers pension liabilities.	8
				64	FLI10	Processes not in place to capture or review covenant of individual employers.	8

65

FLI11

Processes not in place to capture and understand changes in

key issues that drive changes to pension liabilities.

5

Risk	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk	Risk	Cat Ref		
No			Ranking	No		Ra	anking
	-	ADMINISTRATION					
29	ADM1	Failure to act within the appropriate legislative and policy	10				
		framework could lead to illegal actions by the Fund and also					
		complaints against the Fund.					
30	ADM2	Pension structure is inappropriate to deliver a first class	15				
		service					
31	ADM3	Insufficiently trained or experienced staff leading to	12				
		knowledge gaps					
32	ADM4	Failure of pension administration system resulting in loss of	5				
		records and incorrect pension benefits being paid or delays to					
		payment.			Colour	r Risk Level	
33	ADM5	Failure to pay pension benefits accurately leading to under or	8				
		over payments.				Low	
34	ADM6	Failure of pension payroll system resulting in pensioners not	8				
		being paid in a timely manner.				Moderate	
35	ADM7	Not dealing properly with complaints leading to escalation	8				
		that ends ultimately with the ombudsman				High	
36	ADM8	Data protection procedures non-existent or insufficient	10				
		leading to poor security for member data				Very High	
37	ADM9	Loss of funds through fraud or misappropriation by officers	5				
		leading to negative impact on reputation of the Fund as well					
	_	as financial loss.					
38	ADM10	Officers do not have appropriate skills and knowledge to	10				
		perform their roles resulting in the service not being provided					
		in line with best practice and legal requirements. Succession					
		planning is not in place leading to reduction of knowledge					
		when an officer leaves.					

		GO	VERNANCE: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK					
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Controls/Mitigations	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
1	GOV1	Pension Fund Objectives are not defined and agreed leading to lack of focus of strategy to facilitate the aims of the LGPS.	Objectives defined in the Funding Strategy Statement and approved by the Pensions Committee. The Committee has approved a mission statement which summarises the overarching objectives of the Fund.	3	1	3	PCB	Dec-16
2	GOV2	Frequent and/or extensive turnover of committee members causing a loss of technical and operational knowledge about the Fund and an inexperienced Committee/Board.	The nature of Council appointees to the Fund means that there is likely to be annual turnover of appointments to the Pensions Committee. However, Full Council through Democratic Services has been made aware of the consequences of constant turnover of Pensions Committee members. A comprehensive training programme that is in line with CIPFA guideine/The Pension Regulator has been developed and is continously reviewed/updated. Training needs analyses undertaken annually to identify knowledge gaps and training programme adapted accordingly New members required to complete The Pensions Regulators public service toolkit modules as a minimum requirement. All members are encouraged to attend training events (internal/external) to ensure all have adequate knowledge to perform duties as trustees of the Fund.	4	4	16	PCB; HoP	Ongoing

		GO	VERNANCE: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK					
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Controls/Mitigations	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
3	GOV3	Members have insufficient knowledge of regulations, guidance and best practice to make good decisions.	Training needs analyses undertaken annually to identify knowledge gaps and training programme adapted as required. New members are required to complete The Pensions Regulators public service toolkit modules as a minimum requirement. All members are encouraged to attend training events (internal/external) to ensure all have adequate knowledge to perform duties as trustees of the Fund. Officers and advisers (statutory, independent, actuarial) are always present at meetings to provide guidance and assist Members through decision making process.	4	3	12		
4	GOV4	Member non-attendance at training events.	A record of training events attended is a standing agenda item. The importance of attending training events is highlighted to all members at the annual introductory training event. The Committee also runs a series of internal training events which preceed or are included on the Committee meeting agenda. Member training is reported as part of the Annual Fund report.	4	2	8	PCB	Quarterly

		GO	VERNANCE: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK					
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Controls/Mitigations	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
5	GOV5	Officers lack the knowledge and skills required to effectively advise elected members and/or carry out administrative duties.	Job descriptions are used at recruitment to appoint officers with relevant skills and experience. The recruitment process would have identified key knowledge/skills that the successful applicant would need to demonstrate that they possess before being offered a role. Training and improvement plans are in place for all officers as part of the Council's performance appraisal programme.	4	1	4	HoCP	Dec-16
6	GOV6	Committee members have undisclosed conflicts of interest.	Declaration of conflict of interest is a standing item on the agenda. All members of the Committee are required to complete an annual declaration of interest form.	3	1	3	PCB	Completed; Reviewed Quarterly
7	GOV7	The Committee's decision making process is too rigid to allow for the making of expedient decisions leading to an inability to respond to problems and/or to exploit opportunities.	There are five Committee/Board meetings scheduled for 2015/16 municipal year. Where urgent decisions are required this can be done either by organising an additional meeting outside the scheduled meetings or canvassing opinions and votes electronically following dissemination of relevant information to Members.	4	1	4	PCB	N/A
8	GOV8	Known risks not monitored leading to adverse financial, reputational or resource impact.	The Committee has agreed to have the risk register on the agenda for all future meetings including a review of all high risk items and a periodic review of risks by category of risk.	4	1	4	PCB	Ongoing

		GO	VERNANCE: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK					
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Controls/Mitigations	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
9	GOV9	Failure to recognise new Risks and/or opportunities.	Quarterly Committee/management meeting to identify new risks/opportunities. Attendance at regional and national forums to keep abreast of current issues and their potential impact impact on the Fund.	4	1	4	HoP; PCB	Quarterly
10	GOV10	Weak procurement process leads to legal challenge or failure to secure the best value for the value when procuring new services.	All procurement carried out in line with the Council's procurement rules and guidance. Expert legal and procurement advice sought where appropriate.	5	1	5	НоР	Ongoing
11	GOV11	Failure to review existing contracts means that opportunities are not exploited.	The Pension Fund reviews contracts annually to ensure that the Fund receive good value. This include soft market testing where applicable to access opportunities that may benefit the Fund.	4	2	8	HoP; PAM	Annually
12	GOV12	Weak process and policies around communicating with a scheme members and employers means that decisions are not available for scrutiny.	All Committee/Board minutes to be published within 10 days. Publication of an pension fund annual report on the Council's and Fund websites.	3	1	3	ΡΑΜ	Quarterly
13	GOV13	Lack of engagement from employers/members means that communicating decisions becomes a "tick box" exercise and accountability is not real.	The Communications Strategy sets out how the Fund will engage with all stakeholders. Employees and employers are represented on the Fund's Committee/Board with voting rights	3	2	6	ΡΑΜ	Ongoing

		GO	VERNANCE: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK					
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Controls/Mitigations	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
14	GOV14	Failure to comply with legislation and regulations leads to illegal actions/decisions resulting in financial loss and / or reputational damage	Officers maintain knowledge of legal framework for routine decisions. The Council's legal team is involved in reviewing Committee papers and other legal documents. The Fund has engaged a team of experts (Independent Advisor, Actuary, Investment Consultant) that are highly degree of experience and knowledge about the LGPS and pension fund investments.	5	1	5	HoP; PCB	Ongoing
15	GOV15	Failure to comply with guidance issued by The Pensions Regulator (TPR) and Scheme Advisory Board (SAB) resulting in reputational damage.	Guidance (included updates) issued by TPR and SAB is reported to the Committee with gaps identified and clear timetables to address weaknesses agreed.	5	2	10	НоР	Nov-16

		GO	VERNANCE: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK					
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Controls/Mitigations	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
16	GOV16	Pension fund asset pooling restricts Haringey Pension Fund's ability to fully implement a desired mandate	The London CIV is planning to have as wide a range of mandates as possible and also that there will be a choice of manager for each mandate/asset class. The London CIV is planning to appoint investment managers to all asset classes that the Fund is currently invested in. The Fund will be able to retain mandates not currently appointed to by the London CIV and may invest in other pools if they have a desired mandate. The Fund has a seat on the Investment and Advisory Committee of the London CIV. One of the functions of this body is to recommend implementation of mandates. The Secretary of State has stated that where transfer of assets result in significant loss to a Fund, then the assets should be retained under existing arrangements - this may provide an opportunity for the Fund to pursue a strategy that is dissimilar to the London CIV.	5	2	10	ΗοΡ	Mar-17

		G	OVERNANCE: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK					
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Controls/Mitigations	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
17		The Fund adopts and follows ill-suited investment strategy.	The Investment Strategy is in accordance with LGPS investment regulations and it takes into consideration the Funds liabilities and funding levels among other things. The Investment Strategy is documented, reviewed and approved by the Pensions Committee/Board.	5	3	15	НоР	Mar-17

			GISLATION: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEW					
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
18	LEG1	Failure to adhere to LGPS legislation (including regulations, order from the Secretary of State and any updates from The Pension Regulator) leading to financial or reputational damage	Officers maintain knowledge of the LGPS legal framework for routine decisions. Use of tools available on the TPR website including the Public Service Toolkit and Scheme Advisory Board Model. The Council's legal team is involved in reviewing Committee papers and other legal documents. The Fund has engaged a team of experts (Independent Advisor, Actuary, Investment Consultant) that are highly degree of experience and knowledge about the LGPS and pension fund investments.	5	2	10	HoP: PAM; PCB	Ongoing
19	LEG2	Lack of access to appropriate legislation, best practice or guidance could lead to the Fund acting illegally.	Access to LGA material, use of specialist advisors, membership on national and regional forums and attending training presentation on impact and implementation of new legislation. Collaborative working with other Funds to assess requirement and impact of new legislation.	5	1	5	HoP; PAM	Ongoing

		LEC	GISLATION: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEW	ORK				
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
20		changes or understand their impact.	The Pension Team is being restructured to ensure appropriately skilled staff are recruited and to ensure that there is a concentration of knowledge between the pensions administration and investment teams.	4	2	8	HoCP; HoP; PAM	Dec-16

		ACC	OUNTING: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK					
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
21		The Pension Fund Statement of Accounts does not represent a true and fair view of the Fund's financing and assets.	Qualified Accountant to produce the accounts using the most up to date Statement of Recognised Practice, Accounting Code of Practice, Disclosure Checklist and other relevant CIPFA training materials/publications. Attendance at Pensions Officers Group Meetings, Based on latest Code of Practice, robust in year (quarterly) monitoring / reconciliation processes. Draft Statement of Accounts and working papers reviewed by the Head of Pensions and the Chief Accountant.	5	2	10	НоР; НоСР	Jul-17

		ACC	OUNTING: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK					
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
22	ACC2	Internal controls are not in place to protect against fruad/ mismanagement.	The Internal Audit plan includes dedicated hours for pensions to the review of internal controls in relation to the management and accounting of the Pension Fund. The plan is designed on a risk basis, so that areas of high risk will be subject to more frequent internal audits. Pensions feed into the process by identifying areas where improvements are required. Recommendations from internal audits of processes and controls are implemented in a timely manner to reduce or remove identified risks.	4	2	8	HoP; PAM	Mar-17
23	ACC3	The Fund does not have in place a robust internal monitoring and reconciliation process leading to incorrect figures in the accounts.	A checklist of all daily, weekly, monthly and quarterly reconciliations is maintained to ensure that all tasks are completed in a timely manner. All reconciliaitons are independently reviewed and signed off by a second officer. Full reconciliation and interim accountants are prepared on a quarterly basis.	4	2	8	НоР;	Dec-17

		ACC	DUNTING: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK					
<b>Risk No</b>	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba-	Overall	Respon-	Timescale
					bility	Risk	sibility	
						Rating		
24	ACC4	Market value of assets recorded in the	Reconciliation undertaken between the book	5	2	10	НоР	Jun-17
		Statement of Accounts is incorrect leading	cost and market values to the custodians book of					
		to a material misstatement and	records recieved quarterly, although reports can					
		potentially a qualified audit opinion.	be run off online portal - Passport.					
			Further reconciliation undertaken between the					
			custodian and investment managers' records.					
			All adjustments (including unrealised profits) will					
			be posted into the general ledger so that					
			accounts can be reported created directly from					
			SAP.					

		Α	CCOUNTING: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK					
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
25		Inadequate monitoring of income (contributions) leading to cash flow problems.	<ul> <li>Approximately 70% of total income to the Fund comes from contributions by the Council.</li> <li>Payment of contributions from employers is monitored on a monthly basis; including a full reconciliation between amount expect receipt and actual receipt.</li> <li>Late payers are identified and reported to the JCB as part of quarterly pensions administration report.</li> <li>Late payers tend to be small employers in the scheme and such amounts will not have a significant impact on Fund's cashflow.</li> <li>Where non-payment relates to a large employer swift action is taken to chase payment.</li> </ul>	4	1	4	PAM; HoP	Dec-17

	ACCOUNTING: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK												
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale					
26		Rate of contributions from employers' in the Fund is not in line with what is specified in actuarial ratings and adjustment certificate potentially leading to an increased funding deficit or surplus.	Employers are sent all employers a contribution form at the start of each year and confirm the correct rates to be paid. Payment is monitored against expected payment quarterly. Where there are discrepancies, the employer is expected to make immediate payment to make up the shortfall - overpayments cannot be refunded. Employers making late payment are reported to the JCB on a quarterly basis.	5	1	5	РАМ; НоР	Dec-17					
27	ACC7	The fund fails to recover adhoc /miscellaneous income adding to the deficit.	All expenditure incurred by the fund on behalf of employers is recharged. Invoices are itemised and all recoverable items are identified and charged back to the relevant employer. All income recoverable, including witholding taxes on investments are itemised in the custodian reports. We will monitor the recovery and timing of this to ensure the maximum amount is recovered in a timely manner.	4	2	8	HoP;	Dec-17					

		ACC	OUNTING: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK					
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
28		Transfers out increase significantly as members transfer to DC funds to access cash through new pension freedoms.	Monitor numbers and values of transfers out being processed and report to JCB on annual basis.	4	3	12	РАМ; НоР	Mar-17
			Periodically promote the benefits of the LGPS and the flexibility now offered following the revisions to the LGPS in 2014.					

		G	<b>OVERNANCE RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK</b>					
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Likeli- hood	Proba- bility	Respon- sibility	Timescale
29	ADM1	Failure to act within the appropriate legislative and policy framework could lead to illegal actions by the Fund and also complaints against the Fund.	Ensure staff are adequately trained. Appropriate checking processes. Professional advice. Close working with other Funds. Policies kept up to date and discussed at PCF.	5	2	10	PCB; HoCF; HoP; PAM	Ongoing
30	ADM2	Pension structure is inappropriate to deliver a first class service	New structure implemented from October 2016. Impact to be monitored by Head of Pensions. This risk will be reassessed once the restructuring of the pensions team has been completed.	5	3	15	HoCF	Dec-16
31	ADM3	Insufficiently trained or experienced staff leading to knowledge gaps	Training programme for staff including CIPD qualification in some places. Regular briefings and updates on LGPS changes from CIPFA and other training providers. This risk will be reasses once the restructuring of the pensions team has been completed.	4	3	12	НоСҒ; НоР	Dec-16

		G	OVERNANCE RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK					
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Likeli-	Proba-	Respon-	Timescale
					hood	bility	sibility	
32	ADM4	Failure of pension administration	Pensioner administration system Altair is	5	1	5	PAM	Mar-17
		system resulting in loss of records and	subject to daily software backups and off-site					
		incorrect pension benefits being paid or	duplication of records.					
		delays to payment.						
			The business recovery plan once implemented					
			allows the pension administration system to be					
			run from an alternative site.					
33	ADM5	Failure to pay pension benefits	The pension administration system, Altair,	4	2	8	PAM	Mar-17
		accurately leading to under or over	allows for all pensioner benefits to be					
		payments.	automatically calculated by the administration					
			system.					
			Pension benefits payments are double checked					
			by another team member before payments					
			released.					
34	ADM6	Failure of pension payroll system	Pensionepayroll system is subject to daily	4	2	8	PAM	De 2016
		resulting in pensioners not being paid	software backups and off-site duplication of					
		in a timely manner.	records.					
			The business recovery plan once implemented					
			allows the pension administration system to be					
			run from an alternative site.					

		G	OVERNANCE RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK					
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Likeli- hood	Proba- bility	Respon- sibility	Timescale
35	ADM7	Not dealing properly with complaints leading to escalation that ends ultimately with the ombudsman	The Fund has an Internal Dispute Resolution Policy (IDRP) which has been approved by the Committee.	4	2	8	PCB; HoCF; HoP; PAM	Mar-17
			In attempting to resolve any complaints by members, the IDRP will guide officers to ensure that due process is applied through out the process.					
36	ADM8	Data protection procedures non- existent or insufficient leading to poor security for member data	The Council's data protectectio policy is issued to and signed by all staff. The Council has in place a relatively secure system that ensures pension fund data is sufficiently protected. Staff trained in data protection and regularly reminded of its importance.	5	2	10	HoP; PAM	Mar-17
37	ADM9	Loss of funds through fraud or misappropriation by officers leading to negative impact on reputation of the Fund as well as financial loss.	Robust accounting checks and adherence with best practice including undertaking regular reconciliation of payments undertaken or received into the Fund.	5	1	5	НоСГ; НоР	Mar-17

	GOVERNANCE RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK											
<b>Risk No</b>	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Likeli-	Proba-	Respon-	Timescale				
					hood	bility	sibility					
38		Officers do not have appropriate skills and knowledge to perform their roles resulting in the service not being provided in line with best practice and legal requirements. Succession planning is not in place leading to reduction of knowledge when an officer leaves.	The selection process for recruiting officers is rigorous and focussed on the requirements of the role. Also detailed job descriptions/person specification are used to wittle down and appoint officers with the right level of skills, knowledge and experience. Training/Personal Development plans are put in place for each staff member following annual performance appraisal.	5	2	10	HoCF; HoP	Dec-17				

		IN	VESTMENTS: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWOR	K				
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
39	INV1	That the assumptions underlying the Investment and Funding Strategies are inconsistent.	The Investment and Fund Strategies are reviewed and discussed at Pensions Committee. These Strategies are presented to Pensions Committee annually as part of the process of approving the Fund Annual Report. Close liaison between the Fund's actuary and strategic investment adviser.	5	2	10	НоР	Mar-17
40	INV2	That Fund liabilities are not correctly understood and as a consequence assets are not allocated appropriately.	Actuarial and Investment advice provided by qualified professionals and subject to peer review to ensure that it is fit for purpose.	5	1	5	НоР	Mar-17
41	INV3	Incorrect understanding of employer characteristics e.g. strength of covenant.	Actuarial and Investment advice provided by qualified professionals and subject to peer review to ensure that it is fit for purpose. A strength of covenant analysis is undertaken by the Fund along with employer profiling to assist the Fund to understand all employers in the Scheme. The actuary uses this information when contribution rates are being set triennially.	5	2	10	НоР	Mar-17

		IN	VESTMENTS: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWOR	К				
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
42	INV4	The Fund doesn't take expert advice when determining Investment Strategy.	The Fund currently utilises the services of Mercer as Strategic Investment adviser to the Fund. Furthermore, the Fund employs the services of an independent consultant to assist with decisions around investments and investment strategy.	5	1	5	HoP; PCB	Dec-16
43	INV5	Strategic investment advice received from Investment Consultants is either incorrect or inappropriate for Fund.	The Fund employs the services of an statutory investment adviser, Mercers, but has also engaged an independent consultant to challenge/confirm investment/investment strategy decisions. This model ensures that Investment advice is subject to peer review to ensure that it is fit for purpose.	5	2	10	PCB; PCB	Dec-16

	INVESTMENTS: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK											
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale				
44		Investment Manager Risk - this includes both the risk that the wrong manager is appointed and /or that the manager doesn't follow the investment approach set out in the Investment Management agreement.	Rigorous selection process in place to ensure that Fund appoints only the best investment managers based on available information during tendering of a new mandate. Expert professional advice provided by Investment/Independent Consultant supporting manager selection exercise. It is a requirement of the Fund that all Investment Managers are FSA registered. Where necessary specialist search managers will be engaged to assist investment manager selection. The Funds Custodian provides a manager performance monitoring service. The performance of all investment managers is also formally monitored and reported on a quarterly basis to Investment Sub- Committee.	5	2	10	PCB;	Mar-17				

		IN	VESTMENTS: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWOR	К				
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
45	INV7	Relevant information relating to investments is not communicated to the Committee in accordance with the Fund's Governance arrangements.	The Pensions Committee receives formal quarterly reports on both the overall performance of the Fund and individual investment managers. Included within this report is a manager monitoring section prepared by the Head of Finance - Treasury & Pensions Where appropriate members may be asked to utilise electronic decision making, such as, email to allow the Committee to make timely/urgent decisions relating to investment of fund assets.	4	1	4	HoP; CC	Nov-16
46	INV8	The risks associated with the Fund's assets are not understood resulting in the Fund taking either too much or too little risk to achieve its funding objective.	Full Investment Strategy review undertaken by Investment Consultant on triennial basis after triennial valuation with Annual/Ad-hoc Strategy reviews undertaken in intervening years to ensure the Strategy is still appropriate to achieve long term funding objectives.	5	2	10	HoP; PCB	Mar-17

		IN	VESTMENTS: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWOR	К				
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
47		Actual asset allocations move away from strategic benchmark.	Asset Allocations formally reviewed as part of quarterly report to Pensions Committee and necessary action will be taken to correct inbalance that is over and above the tolerance threshold . LGIM, the equity investor is able to affect a rebalancing of the Fund's assets to benchmark and has been tasked to do so on an ongoing basis.	4	3	12	НоР	Nov-16
48	INV10	No modelling of liabilities and cash flow is undertaken.	Annual cash flow monitoring at Fund level undertaken by Head of Finance - Treasury & Pensions and utilised to inform Investment Strategy to ensure that the Fund is always able to meet its liabilities as they fall due.	5	1	5	НоР	Mar-17

		COMM	MUNICATIONS: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWO	ORK				
<b>Risk No</b>	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba-	Overall	Respon-	Timescale
					bility	Risk	sibility	
						Rating		
49	COM1	Members don't make an informed	Communication Strategy in place that outlines	4	2	8	PAM;	Jun-17
		decision when exercising their pension	the most appropriate mode of				НоР	
		options whilst employers cannot make	communication and how the Fund will					
		informed decisions when exercising	communicate with all stakeholders including					
		their discretions leading to possible	its members and employers.					
		complaints and appeals against the						
		Fund	Member provided with explanatory notes and					
			guidance to enable them to make informed					
			decision and given access to further pension					
			support.					
50	COM2	Communication is overcomplicated and	Members and Employers are provided with	3	2	6	PAM;	Jun-17
		technical leading to a lack of	explanatory notes, factsheets, access to a				НоР	
		engagement and understanding by the	pension help desk and a dedicated					
		user (including members and	Communications Team. In addition the Fund's					
		employers).	website provides a one stop shop for					
			information about the Scheme and benefits.					
51	COM3	Employer doesn't understand or carry	Ensure information communicated to	4	2	8	PAM;	Mar-17
		out their legal responsibilities under	Employers is clear and relevant by using				НоР	
		relevant legislation.	simple understandable wording.					
		-	-					
			Where available use standard					
			template/information from the LGPS					
			employers association.					

		COM	MUNICATIONS: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWO	ORK				
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
52	COM4	Apathy from members and employers if communication is irrelevant or lacks impact leading to uninformed users.	Ensure all communication and literature is up to date and relevant and reflects the latest position within the pensions environment including LGPS regulations and other relevant overriding legislation.	3	3	9	PAM; HoP	Mar-17
53	COM5	Employers don't meet their statutory requirements leading to possible reporting of breaches to the Pension Regulator.	Provide training to employers that is specific to their roles and responsibilities in the LGPS. Employer access to a portal with regular updates in line with legislation.	4	2	8	РАМ; НоР	Nov-16
54	COM6	Lack of information from Employers impacts on the administration of the Fund, places strain on the partnership between Fund and Employer.	All forms available on our website and Employer has access to specialist support from Fund Officers.	4	1	4	РАМ; НоР	Nov-16

		FUN	IDING/LIABILITY: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAME	WORK				
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
55		Funding Strategy and Investment considered in isolation by Officers, Committee and their separate actuarial and investment advisors	Funding Strategy statement has explicit links to the investment strategy. Both the actuarial advisor and the investment advisor advise Officers and the Committee and work in partnership to ensure that the two strategies are compatible. The Funding Strategy once ready is presented to Committee for final review and approval.	5	2	10	НоР	Mar-17
56		Inappropriate Funding Strategy set at Fund and employer level despite being considered in conjunction with Investment Strategy.	Fund commissions stochastic modelling from the fund's actuary to test the likelihood of success of achieving desired returns to deliver the Fund long term objectives of being able to pay retirement benefits as they fall due. The actuary sets a high probability bar for future service return and also a deficit recovery plan that recovers funding shortfall in the most efficient manner.	5	2	10		Mar-17

		FUN	IDING/LIABILITY: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAME	WORK				
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
57		Inappropriate Investment and Funding Strategy set that increases risk of future contribution rate increases.	The Investment and Funding Strategies are considered in tandem to each. The Actuary as part of the trienniel valuation reviews the Funding Strategy to take account of outcomes from the triennial valuation and sets appropriate contribution rate for each employer in the Fund. Similarly, a comprehensive review of the Investment Strategy is undertaken following a triennial valuation to ensure that the Strategy is still fit for purpose - annual and ad-hoc reviews are also undertaken where opportunities present itself.	5	2	10	НоР	Nov-16
58		Processes not in place to capture or failure to correctly understand changes to risk characteristics of employers and adapting investment/funding strategies.	Employer monitoring database developed and updated quarterly to capture key metrics that drive an employers' liabilities. Regular profiling of employers' characteristics to ensure that assumptions are still relevant and the Funding Strategy is fit for purpose.	5	2	10	HoP; PAM	Nov-16

		FU	NDING/LIABILITY: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAME	WORK				
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
59	FLI5	Processes not in place to capture or review when an employer may be leaving the LGPS.	Employer monitoring database developed and updated quarterly to capture key metrics that drive an employers' liabilities and status within the Fund. Contract dates for admitted bodies are monitored, so that officers are aware and able to identify employers that are due to leave the Scheme.	5	1	5	PAM; HoP	Nov-16
60	FLI6	Processes not in place to capture or review funding levels as employer approaches exiting the LGPS.	<ul> <li>Employer monitoring database developed and updated quarterly to capture key metrics that drive an employers' liabilities and status within the Fund.</li> <li>Contract dates for admitted bodies are monitored, so that officers are aware and able to identify employers that are due to leave the Scheme. Where an employer is admitted on a closed basis, this usually aligns with when the last active member on the employers payroll either retires or leaves the service of the employer.</li> <li>The Fund's actuary is notified of the need to calculate a cessation valuation 3 months before an employer is due to leave the Fund.</li> </ul>	5	2	10	PAM; HoP	Nov-16

		FUN	IDING/LIABILITY: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAME	WORK				
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
61	FLI7		The investment strategy is constantly under review and updated to ensure that the Fund is able to meets its objectives. The Investment/Independent Consultant along with officers of the month have regular meetings to review the investment strategy and present options to the Committee for approval.	5	1	5	НоР	Nov-16
62	FLI8	Process not in place to ensure new employers admitted to the scheme have appropriate guarantor or bond in place.	The Fund's admission agreement policy require potential admitted bodies to have a guarantor/bond in place. Where an admitted body is unable to secure a bond, such an employer would be required to pay an additional 5% in contributions to the Fund annually in place of having a bond in place. In any case, all new employers must now have some form of security in place and the process is part of the agreement that is signed by a prospective admitted body.	4	1	4	РАМ; НоР	Nov-16

		FUI	NDING/LIABILITY: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAME	WORK				
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
63	FLI9	Level of bond not reviewed in light of change in employers pension liabilities.	All new admissions into the Fund are required to have a bond taken out in the name of the Fund or pay an additional 5% in contribution. The Fund Actuary undertakes a periodic review of employer profiles to assess the level of risk posed by individual employers to the Fund. The results of the employer profiling exercise is a factor in determining contribution rates for each employer in the Fund, so that the level of risk posed by an employer is	4	2	8	PAM; HoP	Nov-16
64	FLI10	Processes not in place to capture or review covenant of individual employers.	commensurate with the rate of recovery of funding deficit. The strength of covenant of individual employers is assessed before they are admitteed into the Fund.	4	2	8	НоР	Mar-17
		employers.	The strength of covenant is a significant factor when determining the terms of admission for a new admitted body to the Fund. Along with employer profiling the employer profiling, strength of covenant of each individual employer is assessed periodically by the actuary and Head of Finance - Treasury and Pensions.					

FUNDING/LIABILITY: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK											
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale			
65		Processes not in place to capture and understand changes in key issues that drive changes to pension liabilities.	The Haringey Pension Fund subscribes to a number of organisations that assists officers of the Scheme to keep abreast of development and changes to the Fund (including government legislation). Updates are received Local Authority Pension Fund Forum; CIPFA Pensions Network; London Pension Fund Forum. These forums/networks provide regular updates on all things local government pension and facilitates awareness of proposed or imminent changes to the LGPS or Investment regulations.		1	5	РАМ; НоР	Nov-16			

Risk Ow	ners	Risk Level	Impact	Probability
HoP	Head of (Finance) Pensions	Level 1	Insignificar	it Rare
PAM	Pensions Administration Manager	Level 2	Minor	Unlikely
PCB	Pensions Committee/Board	Level 3	Moderate	Moderate
HoCF	Head of Corporate Finance	Level 4	Major	Likely
CC	Committee Clerk	Level 5	Catastroph	ic Almost Certain